

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport

The Nagoya Protocol applied to Microbial Genetic Resources

Challenges and Opportunities for International Networks and Infrastructures

SEQAFRICA – 24 February 2021

Carolina dos Santos Ribeiro (carolina.dos.santos.ribeiro@rivm.nl)





NAGOYA PROTOCOL ON **ACCESS TO GENETIC RESOURCES AND THE FAIR AND EQUITABLE SHARING OF BENEFITS ARISING FROM THEIR UTILIZATION** TO THE **CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY**

TEXT AND ANNEX

Overview of the videolecture

- About the Nagoya Protocol and its context
 - Why it was developed
 - How the adoption process happened
 - How it works in practice
- Checklist for users and providers
 - Definitions
 - Scope
 - Communication process
 - Acquiring documentation
- Challenges and Opportunities for the implementation of the NP
- Take home messages

Why the Nagoya Protocol was developed?

Biopiracy

Using the nature knowledge of indigenous people, without permission of financial gains.

• The environmental problem

- Unsustainable global economy: natural resources depletion; environmental degradation; extinction of species; climate change; water shortage
- More frequent natural disasters and global health threats
- Perception that conservation areas equal economic losses
- The social problem
 - Besides the social impact of environmental degradation: poverty, immigration, disease
 - Threats and exploitation of indigenous people as custodians of biodiversity
- The global political problem
 - Heritage from colonization process
 - Appropriation of biodiversity through patents
 - Inequalities in benefiting from biotech research and development

How the Nagoya Protocol was adopted?

- Polarization between developed and developing countries
 - The Indonesian case on the sharing of influenza strains at the WHO's GIS

No benefit sharing, no access!

• Results: the NP, the ITPGRFA, and the PIP Framework



How does the Nagoya Protocol work?

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)

- The recognition of biological diversity as an asset to present and future generations
- Functioning since 29 of December 1993 (196 Parties)
- 3 objectives:
 - The conservation of biological diversity
 - The sustainable use of its components
 - The fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising of the utilization of genetic resources

THE COMPLIANCE PROVISIONS OF THE NAGOYA PROTOCOL ON ABS

NAGOYA PROTOCOL

Functioning since

- 12 October 2014
- 128 Parties •
- Establishes a binding legal framework for access and benefit sharing
- For more details check:
 - https://vimeo.com/263320356/5



Convention on

Biological Diversity



Defining genetic resources

- What is a 'genetic resource' according to the CBD, NP and EU Regulation
 - "genetic material of actual or potential value"
 - genetic material: "any material of plant, animal, microbial or other (non-human) origin containing functional units of heredity i.e. genes"
 - derivatives: "naturally occurring biochemical compound resulting from the genetic expression or metabolism of biological or genetic resources, even if it does not contain functional units of heredity"
 - proteins, metabolites, lipids, enzymes, RNA and organic compounds
 - does not cover synthetic gene segments
- Non-human organisms containing DNA + derivatives

Plants, animals,
fungi, microbes,
virusesBiological materials
Mamples with
DNA/RNABiochemial compounds
(proteins and
metabolites)

• What about genetic sequence data?

- The DSI discussion
- The EU position on DSI: "the use of digital data obtained from gene sequencing, which is frequently stored in publicly available databases, could be considered to be out of scope"



Assessing temporal and geographic info

- **Temporal information:** (*in situ*) sampling date
 - 12 of October 2014
 - 29.12.93-12.10.2014 "moral" period
 - Date that a country became Party/ratified
- In case do not know: Proxy
 - When it first came into your institution/lab (PI arrival)
 - First publication with the material
 - Before XX.XX.XXXX (indicating it is a proxy date)
- **Geographic information:** where the GR was collected (*in situ*)
 - Nagoya Party and Non-Nagoya Party
 - Cannot identify: out of scope
 - If new information arrive, than it needs to be addressed







How to identify NP-Parties?

- Identifying Parties
 - https://absch.cbd.int/
 - There are non-Nagoya Parties that have ABS regulations
- Discovering ABS regulations (ABSCH and NFP)
 - There are Nagoya Parties that have not yet ratified

Diverse National Jurisdiction

- **Sovereign rights:** each country can decide IF and HOW they regulate their resources
 - Nigeria: not a Party (signatory but not ratified)
 - Ghana: Party
 - Tanzania: Party
 - South Africa: Party with implemented ABS measures





Defining utilization

- What exactly is 'utilization' of genetic resources according to the CBD, NP and EU Regulation
 - ... "to conduct research and development on the genetic and/or biochemical composition of the genetic resource, including through the application of biotechnology."
 - R&D: "creative work undertaken on a systematic basis in order to increase the stock of knowledge, including knowledge of man, culture and society, and the use of this stock of knowledge to devise new applications."
 - Basic and applied research for commercial and non-commercial purposes
- GRs covered by specialized instruments (ITPGRFA and PIP Framework) are out of scope
 - Multilateral systems with specific standard conditions
- Examples
 - EU: repositories; taxonomy; diagnostic; some instances of sequencing are not considered utilization.
 - South Africa: Exportation of GR, independent of utilization (bioprospecting), requires a permit



Challenges for the NP Implementation

- Defining scope and application
 - ✓ Defining genetic resources
 - \checkmark Defining utilization
- Communication
- Diverse national jurisdictions and the bilateral approach
- Achieving the CBD and NP objectives



Vector**Stock**®

Opportunities for developing a NP compliance strategy

- Developing Standard Operational Practices
 - 1. Developing a system for acquiring and recording the necessary information for compliance assessment
 - 2. Deciding on the responsibilities and curation strategies for due diligence: agree on the terms and conditions for accessing and using GR per project and not individually

Discussions on the agenda for the COP-15 2021

- The DSI discussion: Genomic Sequence Data = Genetic Resources?
 - GSD is always within the scope of NP otherwise the future of NP would be void
 - No this is practically impossible given the millions of sequences produces & shared each year
 - Policy options for addressing DSI
- Multilateral ABS systems for pathogens and/or DSI
 - Bilateral negotiations on PIC&MAT hampers scientific collaboration and fast public health response on epidemics
 - Harmonized conditions and standard MTAs
 - Examples: FAO-ITPGRFA and WHO-PIP Framework



Take home messages

- The NP defines the ultimate responsibility of due diligence to end users
 - The governing legal measures for compliance are the one from the provider country and not users
 - The governing legal measures for inspections (checkpoints) are the ones stablished within the country (EU Regulation)
- In international collaborations is always a good facilitator that scientists are aware of the Nagoya-status of their own country
 - Is it a Party? Does it has ABS measures in place?
 - Are certificates and permits only needed for commercial use or also for basic research and PH support?
 - Does it addresses pathogens? And DSI (genomic sequence data)?
- The potential of multilateral systems for facilitating international collaborations





National Institute for Public Health and the Environment Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport

The Nagoya Protocol applied to Microbial Genetic Resources

Challenges and Opportunities for International Networks and Infrastructures

SEQAFRICA – 24 February 2021

Carolina dos Santos Ribeiro (carolina.dos.santos.ribeiro@rivm.nl)

Acknowledgement:

Mr. drs. George Haringhuizen (RIVM) Partner projects:



