EURL-AR Workshop 09 October 2024



Technical Specifications for a EU-wide baseline survey of AMR in bacteria from aquaculture animals

P.-A. BELŒIL on behalf of the EFSA WG



### **Process of production of the Baseline Survey**

**Initial Proposal** of a **BLS on AMR in aquaculture animals** (EFSA, the EFSA Network and the MSs)

Mandate of the European Commission to EFSA (2023)

EFSA Expert Working Group -> EFSA Technical Specifications (issued in July 2024)



**Discussions** about detailed **implementing measures** between the EU Member States and the European Commission

> **Legislative provisions**: Decision of the European Commission (in 2025)

#### **Preparatory activities at both EU and MS levels:** Drafting protocols and technical documents





https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/8928

# Mandate received from the European Commission

In accordance with Article 31 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, the Commission requests EFSA to provide **TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT** for the development of a **BLS** on the prevalence of **AMR IN BACTERIA** isolated **FROM EU PRODUCED AQUACULTURE ANIMALS**, considering the most recent scientific literature and technological developments, epidemiological trends, and **RELEVANCE FOR PUBLIC HEALTH**. EFSA is notably asked to propose **HARMONISED APPROACHES** for the collection and the analysis of AMR data from aquaculture animals by:

- a. proposing **PRIORITY COMBINATIONS** of **AQUACULTURE ANIMALS/TARGET BACTERIA** to be considered in the BLS;
- b. proposing a **COMPLETE SAMPLING FRAMEWORK** for the implementation of the BLS including the origins of bacterial isolates subject to AMR testing, the sampling design and the sample size;
- c. proposing protocols for ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF BACTERIA;
- d. proposing protocols for phenotypical **ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING** of bacterial isolates;
- e. proposing protocols for the testing of bacterial isolates via MOLECULAR TYPING METHODS;
- f. providing guidance for **TECHNICAL REPORTING** of the BLS data collected by Member States to EFSA.

# Mandate received from the European Commission

In accordance with Article 31 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, the Commission requests EFSA to provide **TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT** for the development of a **BLS** on the prevalence of **AMR IN BACTERIA** isolated **FROM EU PRODUCED AQUACULTURE ANIMALS**, considering the most recent scientific literature and technological developments, epidemiological trends, and **RELEVANCE FOR PUBLIC HEALTH**. EFSA is notably asked to proceed the produced the epolysis of AMR date from equaculture animals.

### animale Clarifications about the mandate

а.

b.

ba

C. L

- $\circ$  The mandate is not drafted from an animal health perspective
- Fishing from the shore is excluded from the mandate
  - Imported seafood is excluded from the mandate

d. proposing protocols for phenotypical **ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING** of bacterial isolates;

- e. proposing protocols for the testing of bacterial isolates via MOLECULAR TYPING METHODS;
- f. providing guidance for TECHNICAL REPORTING of the BLS data collected by Member States to EFSA

ו the BLS; rigins of

# **Objectives of the Baseline Survey**

- The **primary objectives** of the survey are:
  - (Ia) To assess the prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant microorganisms and the occurrence and diversity of AMR in microorganisms from the main aquaculture productions in the EU
  - (Ib) To indirectly assess, through filter feeding molluscs produced within the EU, the degree of environmental anthropogenic contamination with resistant bacteria in European production waters
- The **secondary objective** of the survey is:
  - To explore the link between AMC and AMR in finfish aquaculture



# **Combinations of aquatic animal species/bacteria**





### Rationale for the combinations targeted: Aquatic animal species

- The most important **aquaculture productions** in Europe
- Distribution of the productions across Europe (EU/EFTA MSs)
- Highest number of countries involved in the BLS

Aquaculture production data of fishery products: •





Trout (17%)

Oyster (11%)

Clam (8%)

Tuna (6%)

Carp (5%)

Salmon (3%)

Other (14%)





# **Rationale for the combinations targeted: Bacteria**



**Production** 

Aeromonas spp.

- F. coli
- E. Faecium and E. faecalis
- ESBL/CP-producing E. coli
- V. parahaemolyticus and V. alginolyticus

#### Rationale

- Studied at the genus level, Aeromonas spp. is considered as an indicator of the dissemination of AMR in water or in fish.
- Aeromonas may also be an opportunist pathogen for humans.
- Prevalence
- E. coli and Enterococcus sp. are typically used as indicators of faecal contamination in aquatic environments.
- V. parahaemolyticus as one of the species that should be included in monitoring and surveillance programmes of antibiotic susceptibilities of bacteria isolated from aquatic animals
- *V. alginolyticus* is considered as one of the most **common** pathogenic species for human



# Survey design: stratified sampling approach

### Hierarchical structure of the design

- Stratified sampling with main strata at the MS/Region level
- Random sampling of production units (PU)
- Sampling of production batches of animals (PB)
- Harmonised samples

	EU-strata	Production unit (PU)	Production batch (PB)	Minimal size of sample batch (SB) <sup>a</sup>
Shellfish	MS	Production area (PA)	At sampling point	≥15 mussel
Shellfish	MS	Dispatch centre (DC)	Packed & labelled	≥ 15 mussel
Marine finfish	(MS, Region)	Farm	Cage	≥5 fish
Freshwater finfish	(MS, Region)	Farm	Pond	≥5 fish

<sup>a</sup>The minimal size is based on the minimal biological material necessary for the testing.

# Survey design: stratified sampling approach

- Stratified sampling with main strata at the MS/Region level
- Random sampling of production units (PU)
- Sampling of production batches of animals
- Harmonised samples

	Sampling of aquatic organisms				
Sampling concept	Marine finfish production: Seabass/salmon	Freshwater finfish production: Trout	Mollusc production: Mussels		
Target populations	EU/EFTA produced seabass/ salmon <sup>a</sup>	EU/EFTA produced trout <sup>a,b</sup>	EU/EFTA produced mussels <sup>a,c</sup>		
Strata	(MS, Region) <sup>a</sup>	(MS, Region) <sup>a</sup>	MS	MS	
Proportional allocation	Sample size (number of PBs) proportionate <sup>d</sup> to the stratum production, with a minimum and a maximum <sup>e</sup> number of PBs	Sample size (number of PBs) proportionate <sup>d</sup> to the stratum production, with a minimum and a maximum <sup>e</sup> number of PBs	Sample size (number of PBs) proportionate to the stratum production, with a minimum and a maximum <sup>e</sup> number of PBs	Sample size (number of PBs) proportionate to the stratum production, with a minimum and a maximum <sup>e</sup> number of PBs	
Production Units	Random sampling of PUs (farms) per stratum <sup>f,g</sup>	Random sampling of PUs (farms) per stratum <sup>f,g</sup>	Random sampling of PUs (PA) per stratum <sup>f,g</sup>	Random sampling of PUs (DC) per stratum <sup>f,g</sup>	
Epidemiological Units	PBs of seabass/salmon at slaughter	PBs of trout at slaughter	PBs at sampling point	PBs packed and labelled	
Production Batches	Random sampling of 4 PBs per PU, approximately evenly distributed over the year	Random sampling of 4 PBs per PU, approximately evenly distributed over the year	Random sampling of 4 PBs per PU, approximately evenly distributed over the year	Random sampling of 4 PBs per PU, approximately evenly distributed over the year	
Sampling	At farm/slaughter	At farm/slaughter	At sampling point <sup>h</sup>	At DC	
Sample	Pooled sample of gills collected from 5 fish, randomly selected per PB	Pooled sample of gills collected from 5 fish, randomly selected per PB	Pooled sample of 15 mussels	Pooled sample of 15 mussels	



# Sample size calculation

• The **sample size** (i.e. the number of isolates to be tested for susceptibility at each sampling time) should allow, **within a predetermined accuracy**, the calculation of **the occurrence of AMR** (proportion of antimicrobial resistance to a particular antimicrobial) for a given combination of bacteria/animal populations.

### • Standard calculation of the required number of isolates

- Accounting for possible missing data and loss during storage
- Choices at the EU and the strata levels
- Multiple PB for the same PU
  - Intra-PU correlation



- From isolate numbers to
  production batch numbers
- A sequential approach proposed

(cf. MRSA BLS)



# Illustrations: Freshwater Trout and Salmon / Aeromonas spp.

### 1. Objective

To assess the occurrence of resistance at the EU level

#### 2. Principles of the sampling design

- Stratified sampling with EU/EFTA MSs-Region as strata
- Proportional allocation using production volumes of strata
- Production batches are the epidemiological units to be sampled

#### 3. Parameters to calculate the required number of isolates

- Accuracy: 0.05
- Confidence level: 0.95
- Taking the unknown occurrence of AMR as 0.5
- Extra 5% for missing observations
- Extra 2% for losses
- Quarterly measurements on the same production unit
- Correlation 0.2

- When unadjusted: 388
  - When adjusted for missingness and losses: 416

number of isolates

-> The required

- When additionally adjusted for multiple isolates from the same PU: 665
  - With rounding to integers (across the strata) 677 isolates



# Illustration: Freshwater Trout and Aeromonas spp. (1)

#### 4. The conversion to the required number of batches (PB)

- Batch of size  $\geq 5$
- Batch prevalence: 0.92
- Within batch prevalence: 0.47
- Intra-batch correlation: 0.18
- Test sensitivity and test specificity taken as 1
- Batch sensitivity: 0.88
- A **minimum** and a **maximum** number of **PUs** for any stratum is applied:
  - Minimum: 4 PUs and 16 PBs per MS
  - Maximum: 53 PUs or 2012 per MS

Derived from a dataset kindly provided by Anses (France)

These values were applied to all strata.



# **Laboratory Methods**

- Isolation methods
- Confirmatory testing and typing methods
- Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing methods
  - Harmonised panel of substances
  - Harmonised criteria of resistance
- Whole Genome Sequencing

Laboratory protocols issued on the EURL-AR website





# **Data Reporting**

- Data Models for Data Reporting
  - $_{\odot}$   $\,$  Prevalence sample-based data model
    - Detailed analytical results of samples taken (whether + or -)
    - EFSA standard for reporting laboratory results (SSD2)
  - o AMR isolate-based data model
    - Isolate-level quantitative AMR data
    - WGS data
  - o Population data model
    - Fish population size of the farms involved

Harmonised Data Models:





# **Expected Outputs of the survey**

#### **Expected Outputs**



- Prevalence of targeted bacteria
- Occurrence of AMR
- Possible seasonal effect
- Diversity of AMR
  - ... at the batch level
    - ... at the EU level



### Next steps

**Initial Proposal** of a **BLS on AMR in aquaculture animals** (EFSA, the EFSA Network and the MSs)

Mandate of the European Commission to EFSA (2023)

EFSA Expert Working Group -> EFSA Technical Specifications (issued in July 2024)



**Discussions** about detailed **implementing measures** between the EU Member States and the European Commission



**Legislative provisions**: Decision of the European Commission (in 2025)

#### **Preparatory activities at both EU and MS levels:** Drafting protocols and technical documents





https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/8928

Special Acknowledgement: . EFSA Expert Working Group . EFSA Network

# Thank you for your attention!

https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/8928